arXiv:1301.6478v2 [hep-th] 31 Jan 2013

Lorentz Covariant Lagrangians of Self-dual Gauge Fields

Wung-Hong Huang
Department of Physics
National Cheng Kung University
Tainan, Taiwan

ABSTRACT

We study the Lorentz covariant Lagrangians of self-dual gauge fields. Along the method in the original PST formulation we find a simple way to covariantize the non-covariant Lagrangian. We derive in detail the basic formulas and then use them to prove the existence of extra gauge symmetries which allow us to gauge fix the auxiliary fields therein and previous non-covariant formulations are reproduced. We find the covariant Lagrangian for the case of decomposition of 6D spacetime into $D = D_1 + D_2$. Our prescription can be easily extended to other non-covariant Lagrangian with more complex decomposition of spacetime. As examples, we also present the covariant Lagrangians in the cases of other decompositions of spacetime.

*E-mail: whhwung@mail.ncku.edu.tw

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 PST Covariant Lagrangian **4**

2.1 Lagrangian in Decomposition: $6 = 1 + 5$ 4

2.2 Lagrangian in Decomposition: $6 = 2 + 4$ 6

2.3 Lagrangian in Decomposition: $6 = 3 + 3$ 8

2.3.1 Basic Formulation 8

2.3.2 Nine Equations 9

2.3.3 Existence of Extra Gauge Symmetry 11

2.3.4 Compare with PSST Lagrangian 13

3 Covariant Lagrangian in General Decomposition **14**

3.1 General Scheme to Covariant Lagrangian 14

3.2 Lagrangian in Decomposition: $6 = 1 + 1 + 4$ 14

3.3 Lagrangian in Decomposition: $6 = 1 + 2 + 3$ 15

4 Conclusion **17**

A Three Basic Relations **18**

1 Introduction

The gauge fields whose field strength is self-dual are called chiral p-form fields. Such fields exist only if p = 2n (n = 0, 1, . . .) and the possible spacetime dimension is D = 2(p + 1). It is known that the chiral p-form play a central role in supergravity and in string theory and M-theory five-branes [1].

Marcus and Schwarz [2] are first to see that manifest duality and spacetime covariance do not like to live in harmony with each other in Lagrangian description of chiral bosons. Historically, the non-manifestly spacetime covariant of 0-form was proposed by Floreanini and Jackiw [3], which is then generalized to p-form by Henneaux and Teitelboim [4]. The field strength of chiral p-form $A_{1\cdots p}$ they used splits into electric density $\mathcal{E}^{i_1\cdots i_{p+1}}$ and magnetic density $\mathcal{B}^{i_1\cdots i_{p+1}}$:

$$\mathcal{E}_{i_1\cdots i_{p+1}} \equiv F_{i_1\cdots i_{p+1}} \equiv \partial_{[i_1} A_{i_2\cdots i_{p+1}]} \tag{1.1}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^{i_1\cdots i_{p+1}} \equiv \frac{1}{(p+1)!}\epsilon^{i_1\cdots i_{2p+2}} F_{i_{p+2}\cdots i_{2p+2}} \equiv \tilde{F}^{i_1\cdots i_{p+1}} \tag{1.2}$$

in which $\tilde{F}$ is the dual form of F. The Lagrangian is described by

$$L_{HJ} = \frac{1}{p!}\tilde{F}_{i_1\cdots i_{p+1}}(F^{i_1\cdots i_{p+1}} - \tilde{F}^{i_1\cdots i_{p+1}}) \tag{1.3}$$

Above action lead to second class constraints and complicates the quantization procedure.

Siegel in [5] proposed a manifestly spacetime covariant action of chiral p-form models by squaring the second-class constraints and introducing Lagrange multipliers λ_{ab} into the action. The Lagrangian of chiral 2 form is described by

$$L_{Siegel} = -\frac{1}{12}F_{abc}F^{abc} + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{ab}\mathcal{F}^{acd}\mathcal{F}^{b}{}_{cd} \tag{1.4}$$

in which we define

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv F - \tilde{F} \tag{1.5}$$

Siegel action, however, does not have enough local symmetry to completely gauge the Lagrange multipliers away and suffers from anomaly of gauge symmetry.

Pasti, Sorokin and Tonin in 1995 constructed a Lorentz covariant formulation of chiral p-forms in D = 2(p+1) dimensions that contains a finite number of auxiliary fields in a non-polynomial way [6,7]. For example, 6D PST Lagrangian is

$$L_{PST} = -\frac{1}{6}F_{abc}F^{abc} + \frac{1}{(\partial_q a\partial^q a)}\partial^m a(x)\mathcal{F}_{mnl}\mathcal{F}^{nlr}\partial_r a(x) \tag{1.6}$$

in which $a(x)$ is the auxiliary field. In the gauge $\partial_r a = \delta^1_r$ the PST formulation reduces to the non-manifestly covariant formulation [3,4].

Recently, a new non-covariant Lagrangian formulation of a chiral 2-form gauge field in 6D, called as (3+3) decomposition, was derived in [8] from the Bagger-Lambert-Gustavsson (BLG) model [9]. The covariant formulation of the associated Lagrangian is constructed by PSST in [10], with the use of a triplet of auxiliary scalar fields.

Later, a general non-covariant Lagrangian formulation of self-dual gauge Theories in diverse dimensions was constructed [11]. In this general formulation the (2+4) decomposition of Lagrangian is found. In [12] we had also constructed a new kind of non-covariant actions of self-dual 2-form gauge theory in the decomposition of $6 = D_1 + D_2 + D_3$. We also furthermore found the most general formulation of non-covariant Lagrangian of self-dual gauge theory in [13]. In these paper the self-dual property of the general Lagrangian is proved in detail and it also shows that the new non-covariant actions give field equations with 6d Lorentz invariance.

Up to now, the PST covariant Lagrangian of self-dual gauge fields had only been constructed in the formulations of decomposition of $6 = 1 + 5$ [6,7] and $6 = 3 + 3$ [10].

In this paper we will find a simple prescription which can be easily extended to other non-covariant Lagrangian with more complex decomposition of spacetime.

In section 2, we first review the PST covariant Lagrangian [6,7], which essentially is to covariantize the non-covariant Lagrangian in the decomposition of spacetime into $6 = 1 + 5$. Next, we present our method to covariantize the non-covariant Lagrangian in the decomposition of spacetime into $6 = 2 + 4$ [11]. Finally, we derive several useful formulas and use them to covariantize the BLG-motivated non-covariant Lagrangian in the decomposition of spacetime into $6 = 3 + 3$ [10]. We also compare our formulation with PSST formulation. In section 3, we describe a simple rule from above study and argue that the method can be used to find the covariant Lagrangian associated to the generally non-covariant self-dual gauge field [13]. As examples we discuss the Lagrangians in the decompositions of spacetime into $6 = 1 + 1 + 4$ and $6 = 1 + 2 + 3$ [12]. Last section is devoted to a short conclusion.

2 PST Covariant Lagrangian

2.1 Lagrangian in Decomposition: $6 = 1 + 5$

In the (1+5) decomposition the spacetime index $\mu = (1, \cdots, 6)$ is decomposed as $\mu = (1, \dot{a})$, with $\dot{a} = (2, \cdots, 6)$. The non-covariant Lagrangian is expressed as [4]

$$L_{1+5} = -\frac{1}{4}\tilde{F}_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}(F^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}} - \tilde{F}^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}) \tag{2.1}$$

We describe the procedure of obtaining the PST covariant Lagrangian in following three steps [6,7].

- First step: We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F}_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}(F^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}} - \tilde{F}^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}) &= -\mathcal{F}_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}\mathcal{F}^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}} + F_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}(F^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}} - \tilde{F}^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}})\\
&= -\mathcal{F}_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}\mathcal{F}^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{3}F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{3}F_{abc}F^{abc} - F_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}\tilde{F}^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}\\
&= -\mathcal{F}_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}\mathcal{F}^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{3}F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} + \tilde{F}_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}\tilde{F}^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}} - F_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}\tilde{F}^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}\\
&= -\mathcal{F}_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}\mathcal{F}^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{3}F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} - \tilde{F}_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}(F^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}} - \tilde{F}^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}})
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Thus

$$F_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}(F^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}} - \tilde{F}^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(- \mathcal{F}_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}\mathcal{F}^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{3}F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda}\Big) \tag{2.3}$$

and Lagrangian we can be expressed as

$$L_{1+5} = -\frac{1}{24}\Big(F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} - 3\mathcal{F}_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}\mathcal{F}^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}\Big) \tag{2.4}$$

- Second step : We define two projection operators

$$P_\mu{}^\lambda P_\lambda{}^\nu = P_\mu{}^\nu, \qquad \Pi_\mu{}^\lambda \Pi_\lambda{}^\nu = \Pi_\mu{}^\nu, \qquad P_\mu{}^{\ \lambda} + \Pi_\mu{}^\nu = \delta_\mu{}^\nu \tag{2.5}$$

in which P_μ^ν is used to project direction “1” while Π_μ^ν is used to project direction “$\dot{a}$”. The projection operator P_μ^ν is described by

$$P_\mu^\nu = \frac{\partial_\mu a \ \partial^\nu a}{(\partial a)^2} \tag{2.6}$$

in which $a(r)$ is an auxiliary field. Using above projection operator the covariant Lagrangian is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} L_{1+5}^{PST} &= -\frac{1}{24}\Big(F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} - 3\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P_\alpha^\mu \cdot \Pi_\beta^\nu \cdot \Pi_\gamma^\lambda \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\Big) \\ &= -\frac{1}{24}\Big(F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} - 3\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P_\alpha^\mu \cdot (\delta_\beta^\nu - P_\beta^\nu)\cdot(\delta_\gamma^\lambda - P_\gamma^\lambda)\cdot \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\Big) \\ &= -\frac{1}{24}\Big(F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} - 3\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P_\alpha^\mu \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\nu\lambda}\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

as $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P_\alpha^\mu P_\beta^\nu \ \delta_\gamma^\lambda \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P_\alpha^\mu P_\beta^\nu P_\gamma^\lambda \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0$.

- Third step : As shown in PST [6,7] there are following three useful equations

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta A_{\alpha\beta}}\int d^6x F^{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{\mu\nu\lambda} = 3\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu\lambda}(\partial_\mu a)(\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(a)}_{\nu\lambda}) - 18\partial_\gamma\Big(P_\mu^{[\alpha}\mathcal{F}^{\beta\gamma]\mu}\Big) \tag{2.8}$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta A_{\alpha\beta}}\int d^6x \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\rho}P_\rho^\sigma \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\sigma} = -\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu\lambda}(\partial_\mu a)(\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(a)}_{\nu\lambda}) - 6\partial_\gamma\Big(P_\mu^{[\alpha}\mathcal{F}^{\beta\gamma]\mu}\Big) \tag{2.9}$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta a}\int d^6x \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\rho}P_\rho^\sigma \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\sigma} = 2\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu\lambda}\bar{F}^{(a)}_{\alpha\beta}\ (\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(a)}_{\nu\lambda})(\partial_\mu a) \tag{2.10}$$

in which

$$\bar{F}^{(a)}_{\mu\mu} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}\frac{\partial^\rho a}{(\partial a)^2} \tag{2.11}$$

Using above three equations the variation with respect to the associated action becomes

$$\delta S_{1+5}^{PST} = -\frac{1}{24}\Big[6\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu\lambda}(\partial_\mu a)(\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(a)}_{\nu\lambda})\ \delta A_{\alpha\beta} - 6\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu\lambda}\bar{F}^{(a)}_{\alpha\beta}\ (\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(a)}_{\nu\lambda})(\partial_\mu a)\ \delta a\Big] \tag{2.12}$$

and we have the local symmetry

$$\delta a = \phi \tag{2.13}$$

$$\delta A_{\alpha\beta} = \phi\ \bar{F}^{(a)}_{\alpha\beta} \tag{2.14}$$

in which ϕ is an arbitrary function. As this Lagrangian has sufficient local symmetry it allows us to gauge fix the projection operators to become the constant matrices [7,8]

$$P_\mu{}^\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Pi_\mu{}^\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{\dot a}^{\dot b} \end{pmatrix}, \ \dot a = 2,3,4,5,6. \tag{2.15}$$

In this gauge $L_{1+5}^{PST} = L_{1+5}$.

From the variation of covariant Lagrangian we can find the field equation of 2-form field A_{ab}

$$0 = \frac{\partial^\rho a}{\sqrt{-(\partial a)^2}} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial^\rho a}{\sqrt{-(\partial a)^2}} F_{\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{\partial^\rho a}{\sqrt{-(\partial a)^2}} \tilde F_{\mu\nu\rho} \tag{2.16}$$

which is the self-duality condition in the covariant form. In the above gauge-fixing we can get $F_{1\dot a\dot b} = \tilde F_{1\dot a\dot b}$, which is the self-duality in the non-covariant formulation [4].

2.2 Lagrangian in Decomposition: $6 = 2 + 4$

In the (2+4) decomposition of 2-form Lagrangian in [11], the spacetime index A is decomposed as $A = (a, \dot a)$, with $a = (1,2)$ and $\dot a = (3,\cdots,6)$. The non-covariant Lagrangian is expressed as [11]

$$L_{2+4} = -\frac{3}{4}\Big[\tilde F_{ab\dot a}(F^{ab\dot a} - \tilde F^{ab\dot a}) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde F_{a\dot a\dot b}(F^{a\dot a\dot b} - \tilde F^{a\dot a\dot b})\Big] \tag{2.17}$$

To obtain the covariant form we first note the following relation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde F_{ab\dot a}(F^{ab\dot a} - \tilde F^{ab\dot a}) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde F_{a\dot a\dot b}(F^{a\dot a\dot b} - \tilde F^{a\dot a\dot b}) \\
= \ & -\mathcal{F}_{ab\dot a}\mathcal{F}^{ab\dot a} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{a\dot a\dot b}\mathcal{F}^{a\dot a\dot b} + F_{ab\dot a}(F^{ab\dot a} - \tilde F^{ab\dot a}) + \frac{1}{2}F_{a\dot a\dot b}(F^{a\dot a\dot b} - \tilde F^{a\dot a\dot b}) \\
= \ & -\mathcal{F}_{ab\dot a}\mathcal{F}^{ab\dot a} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{a\dot a\dot b}\mathcal{F}^{a\dot a\dot b} + \frac{1}{3}F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} - \Big[\tilde F_{ab\dot a}(F^{ab\dot a} - \tilde F^{ab\dot a}) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde F_{a\dot a\dot b}(F^{a\dot a\dot b} - \tilde F^{a\dot a\dot b})\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

which implies

$$\tilde F_{ab\dot a}(F^{ab\dot a} - \tilde F^{ab\dot a}) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde F_{a\dot a\dot b}(F^{a\dot a\dot b} - \tilde F^{a\dot a\dot b}) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(- \mathcal{F}_{ab\dot a}\mathcal{F}^{ab\dot a} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{a\dot a\dot b}\mathcal{F}^{a\dot a\dot b} + \frac{1}{3}F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda}\Big) \tag{2.19}$$

and we can write L_{2+4} as

$$L_{2+4} \ = \ -\frac{1}{16}\Big(2F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} - 6\mathcal{F}_{ab\dot a}\mathcal{F}^{ab\dot a} - 3\mathcal{F}_{a\dot a\dot b}\mathcal{F}^{a\dot a\dot b}\Big) \tag{2.20}$$

To find the covariant form we will rewrite it in a more explicit form (as “a=1,2”)

$$L_{2+4} \;=\; -\frac{1}{16}\Big(2F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} - 12\mathcal{F}_{12\dot{a}}\mathcal{F}^{12\dot{a}} - 3\mathcal{F}_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}\mathcal{F}^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}} - 3\mathcal{F}_{2\dot{a}\dot{b}}\mathcal{F}^{2\dot{a}\dot{b}}\Big) \tag{2.21}$$

We now introduce two independent projection operators

$$P_\mu{}^\alpha \;=\; \frac{\partial_\mu a\partial^\alpha a}{(\partial a)^2} \tag{2.22}$$

$$Q_\mu{}^\alpha \;=\; \frac{\partial_\mu b\partial^\alpha b}{(\partial b)^2} \tag{2.23}$$

$$\Pi_\mu{}^\alpha \;=\; \delta_\mu{}^\alpha - P_\mu{}^\alpha - Q_\mu{}^\alpha \tag{2.24}$$

where a, b are auxiliary fields. Operators P and Q are used to project direction “1” and “2” respectively.

The PST Lagrangian we find is described by

$$\begin{aligned} L^{PST}_{2+4} \;\equiv\; & -\frac{1}{16}\Big(2F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} - 12\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P^\mu_\alpha Q^\nu_\beta \Pi^\lambda_\gamma \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} - 3\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P^\mu_\alpha \Pi^\nu_\beta \Pi^\lambda_\gamma \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\ & \qquad - 3\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot Q^\mu_\alpha \Pi^\nu_\beta \Pi^\lambda_\gamma \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\Big) \\ = \; & -\frac{1}{16}\Big[\Big(F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} - 3\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P^\lambda_\gamma \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\gamma}\Big) + \Big(F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} - 3\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot Q^\lambda_\gamma \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\gamma}\Big)\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

Surprisingly, above relation looks like as two kind of that in decomposition $6 = 1 + 5$. Thus, the variation with respect to the associated action gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S^{PST}_{2+4} = -\frac{1}{16}\Big[& 6\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu\lambda}(\partial_\mu a)(\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(a)}_{\nu\lambda})\;\delta A_{\alpha\beta} - 6\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu\lambda}\bar{F}^{(a)}_{\alpha\beta}\;(\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(a)}_{\nu\lambda})(\partial_\mu a)\;\delta a \\ & + 6\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu\lambda}(\partial_\mu b)(\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(b)}_{\nu\lambda})\;\delta A_{\alpha\beta} - 6\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu\lambda}\bar{F}^{(b)}_{\alpha\beta}\;(\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(b)}_{\nu\lambda})(\partial_\mu b)\;\delta b\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

in which

$$\bar{F}^{(a)}_{\mu\nu} \;\equiv\; \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}\frac{\partial^\rho a}{(\partial a)^2}, \qquad F^{(b)}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}\frac{\partial^\rho b}{(\partial b)^2} \tag{2.27}$$

Now, we go to final step. If we consider a local symmetry

$$\delta a = \phi, \qquad \delta b = \chi \tag{2.28}$$

in which ϕ and χ are arbitrary functions, then the condition of $\delta L^{PST}_{2+4} = 0$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha\beta}\delta A_{\alpha\beta}\Big[\sum_{\gamma\mu\nu\lambda}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu\lambda}[(\partial_\mu a)(\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(a)}_{\nu\lambda}) + (\partial_\mu b)\;(\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(b)}_{\nu\lambda})]\Big] \\ & = \sum_{\alpha\beta}\Big[\sum_{\gamma\mu\nu\lambda}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu\lambda}[\bar{F}^{(a)}_{\alpha\beta}\;(\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(a)}_{\nu\lambda})(\partial_\mu a)\;\phi + \bar{F}^{(b)}_{\alpha\beta}\;(\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(b)}_{\nu\lambda})(\partial_\mu b)\;\chi]\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Above equation has a solution

$$\delta A_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\sum\limits_{\gamma\mu\nu\lambda} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu\lambda}[\bar{F}^{(a)}_{\alpha\beta}\ (\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(a)}_{\nu\lambda})(\partial_\mu a)\ \phi + \bar{F}^{(b)}_{\alpha\beta}\ (\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(b)}_{\nu\lambda})(\partial_\mu b)\ \chi]}{\sum\limits_{\gamma\mu\nu\lambda} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu\lambda}[(\partial_\mu a)(\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(a)}_{\nu\lambda}) + (\partial_\mu b)\ (\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(b)}_{\nu\lambda})]} \tag{2.30}$$

in which the summation does not contain $\alpha,\ \beta$. Thus the Lagrangian L^{PST}_{2+4} has sufficient local symmetry which allows us to gauge fix the projection operators to becomes the constant matrices

$$P_\mu^{\ \nu} = \text{diagonal}(1,0,0,0,0,0) \tag{2.31}$$

$$Q_\mu^{\ \nu} = \text{diagonal}(0,1,0,0,0,0) \tag{2.32}$$

$$\Pi_\mu^{\ \nu} = \text{diagonal}(0,0,1,1,1,1) \tag{2.33}$$

In this gauge $L^{PST}_{2+4} = L_{2+4}$.

From the variation of covariant Lagrangian we can find the field equation of 2-form field A_{ab}

$$0 = \partial_\mu a\ \bar{F}^{(a)}_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_\mu b\ \bar{F}^{(b)}_{\nu\lambda} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 = \frac{\partial_\mu a \partial^\rho a}{(\partial a)^2}\mathcal{F}_{\nu\lambda\rho} + \frac{\partial_\mu b \partial^\rho b}{(\partial b)^2}\mathcal{F}_{\nu\lambda\rho} \tag{2.34}$$

which is the self-duality condition in the covariant form. In the above gauge-fixing we can get $F_{a\dot{a}\dot{b}} = \tilde{F}_{a\dot{a}\dot{b}}$ and $F_{ab\dot{a}} = \tilde{F}_{ab\dot{a}}$, which are the self-duality in the non-covariant formulation [11].

2.3 Lagrangian in Decomposition: $6 = 3 + 3$

2.3.1 Basic Formulation

In the (3+3) decomposition [8] the spacetime index μ is decomposed as $\mu = (a, \dot{a})$, with $a = (1,2,3)$ and $\dot{a} = (4,5,6)$. The non-covariant Lagrangian can be expressed as

$$L_{3+3} = -\frac{1}{4}\Big[\tilde{F}_{abc}(F^{abc} - \tilde{F}^{abc}) + 3\tilde{F}_{ab\dot{a}}(F^{ab\dot{a}} - \tilde{F}^{ab\dot{a}})\Big] \tag{2.35}$$

To obtain the covariant form we first note the following relation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{F}_{abc}(F^{abc} - \tilde{F}^{abc}) + 3\tilde{F}_{ab\dot{a}}(F^{ab\dot{a}} - \tilde{F}^{ab\dot{a}})\\
= \ & -\mathcal{F}_{abc}\mathcal{F}^{abc} - 3\mathcal{F}_{ab\dot{a}}\mathcal{F}^{ab\dot{a}} + F_{abc}(F^{abc} - \tilde{F}^{abc}) + 3F_{ab\dot{a}}(F^{ab\dot{a}} - \tilde{F}^{ab\dot{a}})\\
= \ & -\mathcal{F}_{abc}\mathcal{F}^{abc} - 3\mathcal{F}_{ab\dot{a}}\mathcal{F}^{ab\dot{a}} + F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} - F_{\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{c}}F^{\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{c}} - 3F_{a\dot{a}\dot{b}}F^{a\dot{a}\dot{b}} - F^{abc}\tilde{F}^{abc} - 3F_{ab\dot{a}}\tilde{F}^{ab\dot{a}}\\
= \ & -\mathcal{F}_{abc}\mathcal{F}^{abc} - 3\mathcal{F}_{ab\dot{a}}\mathcal{F}^{ab\dot{a}} + F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} + \tilde{F}_{abc}\tilde{F}^{abc} + 3\tilde{F}_{ab\dot{a}}\tilde{F}^{ab\dot{a}} - F^{abc}\tilde{F}^{abc} - 3F_{ab\dot{a}}\tilde{F}^{ab\dot{a}}\\
= \ & -\mathcal{F}_{abc}\mathcal{F}^{abc} - 3\mathcal{F}_{ab\dot{a}}\mathcal{F}^{ab\dot{a}} + F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} - \Big[\tilde{F}_{abc}(F^{abc} - F^{abc}) + 3\tilde{F}_{ab\dot{a}}(F^{ab\dot{a}} - \tilde{F}^{ab\dot{a}})\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

which implies

$$\tilde{F}_{abc}(F^{abc}-\tilde{F}^{abc})+3\tilde{F}_{ab\dot{a}}(F^{ab\dot{a}}-\tilde{F}^{ab\dot{a}})=\frac{1}{2}\Big(F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda}-\mathcal{F}_{abc}\mathcal{F}^{abc}-3\mathcal{F}_{ab\dot{a}}\mathcal{F}^{ab\dot{a}}\Big) \tag{2.37}$$

and we can write L_{3+3} as

$$L_{3+3}=-\frac{1}{8}\Big(F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda}-\mathcal{F}_{abc}\mathcal{F}^{abc}-3\mathcal{F}_{ab\dot{a}}\mathcal{F}^{ab\dot{a}}\Big) \tag{2.38}$$

Above Lagrangian is just that used by PSST in [10] to find the covariant form. In this paper we will adopt another method to find the covariant form. Our method is just a straightforward extending of the original PST method and can be easily extended to study other Lagrangian.

We introduce three independent projection operators

$$\begin{aligned}
P_\mu^{\ \alpha} &= \frac{\partial_\mu a\partial^\alpha a}{(\partial a)^2} && (2.39)\\
Q_\mu^{\ \alpha} &= \frac{\partial_\mu b\partial^\alpha b}{(\partial b)^2} && (2.40)\\
R_\mu^{\ \alpha} &= \frac{\partial_\mu c\partial^\alpha c}{(\partial c)^2} && (2.41)\\
\Pi_\mu^{\ \alpha} &= \delta_\mu^{\ \alpha}-P_\mu^{\ \alpha}-Q_\mu^{\ \alpha}-R_\mu^{\ \alpha} && (2.42)
\end{aligned}$$

where a, b and c are three auxiliary fields. The operators P, Q and R are used to project direction "1", "2" and "3" respectively.

The PST Lagrangian we find is described by

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{3+3}^{PST} &= -\frac{1}{8}\Big(F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda}-6\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P_\alpha^\mu Q_\beta^\nu R_\gamma^\lambda\cdot\mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}-6\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P_\alpha^\mu Q_\beta^\nu \Pi_\gamma^\lambda\cdot\mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\\
&\quad -6\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P_\alpha^\mu R_\beta^\nu \Pi_\gamma^\lambda\cdot\mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}-6\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot Q_\alpha^\mu R_\beta^\nu \Pi_\gamma^\lambda\cdot\mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\Big)\\
&= -\frac{1}{8}\Big(F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda}-6\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P_\beta^\nu Q_\gamma^\lambda\cdot\mathcal{F}^{\mu\beta\gamma}-6\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P_\beta^\nu R_\gamma^\lambda\cdot\mathcal{F}^{\mu\beta\gamma}\\
&\quad -6\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot R_\beta^\nu Q_\gamma^\lambda\cdot\mathcal{F}^{\mu\beta\gamma}+12\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P_\alpha^\mu Q_\beta^\nu R_\gamma^\lambda\cdot\mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\Big) && (2.43)
\end{aligned}$$

We now will variation above Lagrangian with respect to the $A_{\alpha\beta}$ and three auxiliary fields a, b and c.

2.3.2 Nine Equations

To proceed, we note that for the decompositions $6 = 1 + 5$ and $6 = 2 + 4$ we see from L_{1+5}^{PST} and L_{2+4}^{PST} that there is at most only one project operator in the each term of reduced covariant Lagrangian. However, for the decomposition $6 = 3 + 3$ we see from L_{3+3}^{PST} that there are two or three project operators in the each term of reduced covariant Lagrangian. Thus we need furthermore relations.

We first note the three basic relations (all indices in the following are on 6D) which are derive in appendix

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}^{abc} &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{abcdef}P_d^w\mathcal{F}_{wef} + 3P_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu} && (2.44)\\
\mathcal{F}^{abc} &= -\epsilon^{abcdef}P_d^wQ_e^s\mathcal{F}_{wsf} + 3P_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu} + 3Q_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu} - 6P_\mu^{[a}Q_\nu^b\mathcal{F}^{c]\mu\nu} && (2.45)\\
\mathcal{F}^{abc} &= -\epsilon^{abcdef}P_d^wQ_e^sR_f^t\mathcal{F}_{wst} + 3P_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu} + 3Q_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu} + 3R_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu}\\
&\quad -6P_\mu^{[a}Q_\nu^b\mathcal{F}^{c]\mu\nu} - 6Q_\mu^{[a}R_\nu^b\mathcal{F}^{c]\mu\nu} - 6R_\mu^{[a}P_\nu^b\mathcal{F}^{c]\mu\nu} + 6P_\mu^{[a}Q_\nu^bR_\lambda^{c]}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\lambda} && (2.46)
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain above equations we have used the orthogonal condition between the different projection operator, i.e $P_a^bQ_b^c = Q_a^bR_b^c = R_a^bP_b^c = 0$.

• *Equations* $1 \sim 3$: Using above three basic relations we have following three equations

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{6}\frac{\delta}{\delta A_{ab}}\int d^6xF^{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{\mu\nu\lambda} &= \partial_cF^{abc} = \partial_c\mathcal{F}^{abc}\\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{abcdef}\partial_c\Big(P_d^w\mathcal{F}_{wef}\Big) + 3\partial_c\Big(P_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu}\Big) && (2.47)\\
&= -\epsilon^{abcdef}\partial_c\Big(P_d^wQ_e^s\mathcal{F}_{wsf}\Big) + \partial_c(3P_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu} + 3Q_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu}\\
&\quad -6P_\mu^{[a}Q_\nu^b\mathcal{F}^{c]\mu\nu}) && (2.48)\\
&= -\epsilon^{abcdef}\partial_c\Big(P_d^wQ_e^s\mathcal{F}_{wsf}\Big) + \partial_c(3P_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu} + 3Q_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu}\\
&\quad +3R_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu} - 6P_\mu^{[a}Q_\nu^b\mathcal{F}^{c]\mu\nu} - 6Q_\mu^{[a}R_\nu^b\mathcal{F}^{c]\mu\nu}\\
&\quad -6R_\mu^{[a}P_\nu^b\mathcal{F}^{c]\mu\nu} + 6P_\mu^{[a}Q_\nu^bR_\lambda^{c]}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\lambda}\Big) && (2.49)
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the variation of $F^{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ with respect $A_{\alpha\beta}$ have three different forms. This property plays the crucial role in the following investigation.

• *Equations* $4 \sim 6$: We can also use above three basic relations to derive the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta}{\delta a}\int d^6x\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}P_\lambda^\rho\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\lambda} = -\partial_\lambda\Big[\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}\frac{\partial^\rho a}{(\partial a)^2}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\lambda}\Big] + \partial_s\Big[\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}P_\lambda^\rho\frac{\partial^s a}{(\partial a)^2}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\lambda}\Big]\\
&= -\partial_\lambda\Big[\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}\frac{\partial^\rho a}{(\partial a)^2}\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda abc}P_a^\sigma\mathcal{F}_{\sigma bc} + 3P_s^{[\mu}\mathcal{F}^{\nu\lambda]s}\Big)\Big] + \partial_s\Big[\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}P_\lambda^\rho\frac{\partial^s a}{(\partial a)^2}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\lambda}\Big]\\
&= -\partial_\lambda\Big[\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}\frac{\partial^\rho a}{(\partial a)^2}\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda abc}P_a^\sigma\mathcal{F}_{\sigma bc} + P_s^\lambda\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu s}\Big)\Big] + \partial_s\Big[\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}P_\lambda^\rho\frac{\partial^s a}{(\partial a)^2}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\lambda}\Big]\\
&= \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda abc}\partial_\lambda\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}\frac{\partial^\rho a}{(\partial a)^2}P_a^s\mathcal{F}_{sbc}\Big) \qquad (2.50)
\end{aligned}$$

in which we have used the property : $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}\partial^\mu a\partial^\nu a = 0$. In the same way

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta}{\delta a}\int d^6x\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}P^\nu_\alpha Q^\rho_\beta\mathcal{F}^{\mu\alpha\beta} &= -\partial_\lambda\Big[\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}\frac{\partial^\nu a}{(\partial a)^2}Q^\rho_\beta\mathcal{F}^{\mu\lambda\beta}\Big] + \partial_s\Big[\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}P^\nu_\alpha Q^\rho_\beta\frac{\partial^s a}{(\partial a)^2}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\alpha\beta}\Big] \\
&= -\partial_\lambda\Big[\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}\frac{\partial^\nu a}{(\partial a)^2}Q^\rho_\beta\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\lambda\beta abc}P^\sigma_a\mathcal{F}_{\sigma bc} + 3P^{[\mu}_s\mathcal{F}^{\lambda\beta]s}\Big)\Big] + \partial_s\Big[\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}P^\nu_\alpha Q^\rho_\beta\frac{\partial^s a}{(\partial a)^2}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\alpha\beta}\Big] \\
&= -\partial_\lambda\Big[\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}\frac{\partial^\nu a}{(\partial a)^2}Q^\rho_\beta\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\lambda\beta abc}P^\sigma_a\mathcal{F}_{\sigma bc} + P^\lambda_s\mathcal{F}^{\beta\mu s}\Big)\Big] + \partial_s\Big[\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}P^\nu_\alpha Q^\rho_\beta\frac{\partial^s a}{(\partial a)^2}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\alpha\beta}\Big] \\
&= \epsilon^{\mu\lambda\beta abc}\partial_\lambda\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}\frac{\partial^\nu a}{(\partial a)^2}P^k_a Q^\rho_\beta\mathcal{F}_{kbc}\Big) \qquad (2.51)
\end{aligned}$$

in which we have used the property : $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}\partial^\mu a\partial^\nu a = 0$ and orthogonality between different projection operator : $P^b_a Q^d_b = 0$. In the same way we can follow the above method and use the orthogonality between different projection operator to derive the another equation

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta}{\delta a}\int d^6x\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\rho}P^a_\mu Q^b_\nu R^c_\rho\mathcal{F}_{abc} = \epsilon^{\lambda bcijk}\partial_\lambda\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}\frac{\partial^\mu a}{(\partial a)^2}P^t_i Q^\nu_b R^\rho_c\mathcal{F}_{tjk}\Big) \qquad (2.52)$$

- *Equations* 7 ∼ 9 : Through the simple variation we can get following equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta}{\delta A_{\alpha\beta}}\int d^6x\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\rho}P^\sigma_\rho\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\sigma} &= -\frac{\delta}{\delta A_{\alpha\beta}}\int d^6x\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\rho}P^\sigma_\rho\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu\sigma} + \frac{\delta}{\delta A_{\alpha\beta}}\int d^6x\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\rho}P^\sigma_\rho F_{\mu\nu\sigma} \\
&= -\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_\lambda\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}P^\rho_\sigma\Big) - 6\partial_\mu\Big(P^{[\beta}_\rho\mathcal{F}^{\mu\alpha]\rho}\Big) \qquad (2.53)
\end{aligned}$$

In the same we can derive the following equations

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta A_{\alpha\beta}}\int d^6x\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\rho}P^a_\nu Q^b_\sigma\mathcal{F}_{\mu ab} = -\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu ab\lambda}\partial_\lambda\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\sigma}P^\nu_a Q^\sigma_b\Big) - 6\partial_\mu\Big(P^{[\alpha}_\nu Q^\beta_\rho\mathcal{F}^{\mu]\nu\rho}\Big) \qquad (2.54)$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta A_{\alpha\beta}}\int d^6x\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\rho}P^a_\mu Q^b_\nu R^c_\rho\mathcal{F}_{\mu abc} = -\epsilon^{\alpha\beta abc\lambda}\partial_\lambda\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}P^\mu_a Q^\nu_b R^\rho_c\Big) - 6\partial_\lambda\Big(P^{[\lambda}_\mu Q^\alpha_\nu R^{\beta]}_\rho\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\rho}\Big) \qquad (2.55)$$

2.3.3 Existence of Extra Gauge Symmetry

Finally, using above nine equations the variation with respect to the associated action becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta S^{PST}_{3+3} &= \frac{3}{4}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta abc\lambda}\Big[\partial_\lambda\Big(\mathcal{F}_{a\nu\sigma}(P^\nu_b Q^\sigma_c + Q^\nu_b R^\sigma_c + R^\nu_b P^\sigma_c) - 2\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}P^\mu_a Q^\nu_b R^\rho_c\Big)\delta A_{\alpha\beta} \\
&\quad -\partial_\beta\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha st}P^{[k}_b Q^{t]}_a\mathcal{F}_{kc\lambda}(\delta a\ \frac{\partial^s a}{(\partial a)^2} + \delta b\ \frac{\partial^s b}{(\partial b)^2})\Big) \\
&\quad -\partial_\beta\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha st}Q^{[k}_b R^{t]}_a\mathcal{F}_{kc\lambda}(\delta b\ \frac{\partial^s b}{(\partial b)^2} + \delta c\ \frac{\partial^s c}{(\partial c)^2})\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\partial_\beta\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha st}R_b^{[k}P_a^{t]}\mathcal{F}_{kc\lambda}(\delta c\ \frac{\partial^s c}{(\partial c)^2}+\delta a\ \frac{\partial^s a}{(\partial a)^2})\Big)\\
&\partial_\alpha\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}P_b^{[t}Q_\beta^{\nu}R_a^{\rho]}\mathcal{F}_{tc\lambda}(\delta a\ \frac{\partial^\mu a}{(\partial a)^2}+\delta b\ \frac{\partial^\mu b}{(\partial b)^2}+\delta c\ \frac{\partial^\mu c}{(\partial c)^2})\Big)\Big]
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.56}
$$

which has a desired form.

Let us explain how we can get rid of the unwanted terms, such as $\partial_\mu\Big(P_\rho^{[\beta}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\alpha]\rho}\Big)$, $\partial_\mu\Big(P_\nu^{[\alpha}Q_\rho^{\beta}\mathcal{F}^{\mu]\nu\rho}\Big)$ and $\partial_\lambda\Big(P_\mu^{[\lambda}Q_\nu^{\alpha}R_\rho^{\beta]}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\rho}\Big)$ and finally remain only the terms proportional the $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta abc\lambda}$ in the above equation. The key point is that in the L_{3+3}^{PST} there is a term $F^{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ and, as mentioned before, there are three possible forms in the variation with respect the $A_{\alpha\beta}$. Thus, we can adjust the ratio between the three forms and get rid of the unwanted terms to have a desired form in the above equation.

Now, we go to final step. If we consider the local symmetry

$$
\delta a=\phi,\qquad \delta b=\chi,\qquad \delta c=\theta \tag{2.57}
$$

in which ϕ, χ and θ are arbitrary functions. Then the condition of $\delta S_{3+3}^{PST}=0$ has solution

$$
\delta A_{\alpha\beta}=\frac{G_{\alpha\beta}}{W_{\alpha\beta}},\qquad \textit{no summation over } \alpha,\beta \tag{2.58}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{\alpha\beta} &= \sum_{abc\lambda}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta abc\lambda}\Big[-\partial_\beta\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha st}P_b^{[k}Q_a^{t]}\mathcal{F}_{kc\lambda}(\phi\ \frac{\partial^s a}{(\partial a)^2}+\chi\ \frac{\partial^s b}{(\partial b)^2})\Big)\\
&\quad -\partial_\beta\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha st}Q_b^{[k}R_a^{t]}\mathcal{F}_{kc\lambda}(\chi\ \frac{\partial^s b}{(\partial b)^2}+\theta\ \frac{\partial^s c}{(\partial c)^2})\Big)\\
&\quad -\partial_\beta\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha st}R_b^{[k}P_a^{t]}\mathcal{F}_{kc\lambda}(\theta\ \frac{\partial^s c}{(\partial c)^2}+\phi\ \frac{\partial^s a}{(\partial a)^2})\Big)\\
&\quad \partial_\alpha\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}P_b^{[t}Q_\beta^{\nu}R_a^{\rho]}\mathcal{F}_{tc\lambda}(\phi\ \frac{\partial^\mu a}{(\partial a)^2}+\chi\ \frac{\partial^\mu b}{(\partial b)^2}+\theta\ \frac{\partial^\mu c}{(\partial c)^2})\Big)\Big] \qquad (2.59)\\
W_{\alpha\beta} &= \sum_{abc\lambda}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta abc\lambda}\Big[\partial_\lambda\Big(\mathcal{F}_{a\nu\sigma}(P_b^{\nu}Q_c^{\sigma}+Q_b^{\nu}R_c^{\sigma}+R_b^{\nu}P_c^{\sigma})-2\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}P_a^{\mu}Q_b^{\nu}R_c^{\rho}\Big)\Big] \qquad (2.60)
\end{aligned}
$$

in which the summation does not contain α nor β. Thus the Lagrangian L_{3+3}^{PST} has sufficient local symmetry which allows us to gauge fix the projection operators to becomes the constant matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_\mu^{\ \nu} &= \text{diagonal}(1,0,0,0,0,0) &(2.61)\\
Q_\mu^{\ \nu} &= \text{diagonal}(0,1,0,0,0,0) &(2.62)\\
R_\mu^{\ \nu} &= \text{diagonal}(0,0,1,0,0,0) &(2.63)\\
\Pi_\mu^{\ \nu} &= \text{diagonal}(0,0,0,1,1,1) &(2.64)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this gauge $L_{3+3}^{PST} = L_{3+3}$ [13].

From the variation of covariant Lagrangian we can find the field equation of 2-form field A_{ab}

$$0 = \mathcal{F}_{a\nu\sigma}(P_b^\nu Q_c^\sigma + Q_b^\nu R_c^\sigma + R_b^\nu P_c^\sigma) - 2\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho} P_a^\mu Q_b^\nu R_c^\rho \tag{2.65}$$

This is the self-duality condition in the covariant form. In the above gauge-fixing we can get $F_{abc} = \tilde{F}_{abc}$ and $F_{ab\dot{a}} = \tilde{F}_{ab\dot{a}}$, which are the self-duality in the non-covariant formulation [11].

2.3.4 Compare with PSST Lagrangian

Although the Lagrangian we use to covariantize is just that used by PSST [10], PSST only introduced one projection operator

$$P_\mu^{\nu,PSST} = \partial_\mu a^r Y_{rs}^{-1} \partial^\nu a^s, \quad \Pi_\mu^\nu = \delta_\mu^\nu - P_\mu^{\nu,PSST} \tag{2.66}$$

where

$$Y_{rs} = \partial_\rho a^r \partial^\rho a^s \tag{2.67}$$

and a^r are the triplet of auxiliary scalar fields. The local symmetry they found is

$$\delta a^r = \phi^r, \qquad \delta A_{\mu\nu} = 2\phi^r Y_{rs}^{-1} \partial^\gamma a^s \mathcal{F}_{ab\gamma} P_{[\mu}^{a,PSST} \Pi_{\nu]}^b \tag{2.68}$$

which is different form ours.

To compare theirs to ours we see that the orthogonality between a^r gives a relation $\partial_\mu a^i \partial^\mu a^j \sim \delta^{ij}$. This implies that

$$P_\mu^{\nu,PSST} = \frac{\partial_\mu a^1 \partial^\nu a^1}{(\partial a^1)^2} + \frac{\partial_\mu a^2 \partial^\nu a^2}{(\partial a^2)^2} + \frac{\partial_\mu a^3 \partial^\nu a^3}{(\partial a^3)^2} \tag{2.69}$$

Thus, after explicitly using the orthogonal property between the projection operators the PSST projection operator $P_\mu^{\nu,PSST}$ in above becomes

$$P_\mu^{\nu,PSST} = P_\mu^\nu + Q_\mu^\nu + R_\mu^\nu \tag{2.70}$$

in which P_μ^ν, Q_μ^ν and R_μ^ν are just corresponding to our three projection operators. The PSST projection operator is in fact, according to our formulation, contains three projection operators which cannot be separated. Thus, their formulation is different from ours. What we have seen is that in our formulation, which has explicitly used the orthogonality, is different from PSST. This is something like that some physical relations are different between on-mass shell and off-mass shell formulations.

3 Covariant Lagrangian in General Decomposition

3.1 General Scheme to Covariant Lagrangian

According to above study we have found a systematic way to covariantize the non-covariant Lagrangian.

First, it is known that the original non-covariant Lagrangian is expressed in terms of function $\tilde{F}_{abc}\mathcal{F}^{abc}$ [11-13]. Therefore, the first step is to express them as $\mathcal{F}_{abc}\mathcal{F}^{abc}$. In this step there will also appear the term of $F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda}$. The Lagrangian form can be easily read from the function form in the original non-covariant Lagrangian. In the second step we have to define projection operators, P_μ^ν, to render the constrained index, say "a" into the 6d index "μ". In the third step we can use the nine equations derived in section 2.3.2 to show that the covariant Lagrangian has sufficiently local symmetry which allows us to gauge fix the projection operators to become the constant matrices. In this gauge the covariant Lagrangian becomes that originally non-covariant Lagrangian.

3.2 Lagrangian in Decomposition: $6 = 1 + 1 + 4$

As a further example let us see how to covariantize the non-covariant Lagrangian in decomposition: $6 = 1 + 1 + 4$. In this case the spacetime index A is decomposed as $A = (1, 2, \dot{a})$ and the non-covariant Lagrangian is expressed as [12]

$$\begin{aligned} L_{1+1+4} &= -\Big[4\tilde{F}_{12\dot{a}}(F^{12\dot{a}} - \tilde{F}^{12\dot{a}}) + (1+\theta)\Big(\tilde{F}_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}(F^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}} - \tilde{F}^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}})\Big) \\ &\qquad + (1-\theta)\Big(\tilde{F}_{2\dot{a}\dot{b}}(F^{2\dot{a}\dot{b}} - \tilde{F}^{2\dot{a}\dot{b}})\Big)\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

in which θ is an arbitrary constant. To obtain the covariant form we write L_{1+1+4} as

$$L_{1+1+4} = -\Big(-\frac{2}{3}F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} + 4\mathcal{F}_{12\dot{a}}\mathcal{F}^{12\dot{a}} + (1+\theta)\mathcal{F}_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}\mathcal{F}^{1\dot{a}\dot{b}} + (1-\theta)\mathcal{F}_{2\dot{a}\dot{b}}\mathcal{F}^{2\dot{a}\dot{b}}\Big) \tag{3.2}$$

We now introduce two independent projection operators

$$P_\mu{}^\alpha = \frac{\partial_\mu a\partial^\alpha a}{(\partial a)^2}, \qquad Q_\mu{}^\alpha = \frac{\partial_\mu b\partial^\alpha b}{(\partial b)^2} \tag{3.3}$$

where a, b are auxiliary fields. Operators P and Q are used to project direction "1" and "2" respectively.

The PST Lagrangian we find is described by

$$L_{1+1+4}^{PST} \equiv -\Big[-\frac{2}{3}F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} + 4\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P^\mu_\alpha Q^\nu_\beta \Pi^\lambda_\gamma \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} + (1+\theta)\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P^\mu_\alpha \Pi^\nu_\beta \Pi^\lambda_\gamma \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\qquad + (1-\theta)\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda} \cdot Q^{\mu}_{\alpha}\Pi^{\nu}_{\beta}\Pi^{\lambda}_{\gamma} \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\Big] \\
&= -\Big[\Big(-\frac{2}{3}F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} + (1+\theta)\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda} \cdot P^{\lambda}_{\gamma} \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\gamma} + (1-\theta)\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda} \cdot Q^{\lambda}_{\gamma} \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\gamma}\Big] \\
&= -\Big[\Big((1+\theta)(-\frac{1}{3}F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda} \cdot P^{\lambda}_{\gamma} \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\gamma}) \\
&\qquad + (1-\theta)(-\frac{1}{3}F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda} \cdot Q^{\lambda}_{\gamma} \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\gamma})\Big]
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.4}
$$

Above relation looks like as two kind of that in decomposition $6 = 1 + 5$, with scale factor $(1+\theta)$ and $(1-\theta)$ before them respectively. Thus, as that in the case of $6 = 2+4$ we can find the following local symmetry

$$
\delta a = \phi, \qquad \delta b = \chi \tag{3.5}
$$

$$
\delta A_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\sum_{\gamma\mu\nu\lambda} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu\lambda}[(1+\theta)\bar{F}^{(a)}_{\alpha\beta}\ (\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(a)}_{\nu\lambda})(\partial_\mu a)\ \phi + (1-\theta)\bar{F}^{(b)}_{\alpha\beta}\ (\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(b)}_{\nu\lambda})(\partial_\mu b)\ \chi]}{\sum_{\gamma\mu\nu\lambda} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu\lambda}[(1+\theta)(\partial_\mu a)(\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(a)}_{\nu\lambda}) + (1-\theta)(\partial_\mu b)\ (\partial_\gamma \bar{F}^{(b)}_{\nu\lambda})]} \tag{3.6}
$$

in which ϕ and χ are arbitrary function and the summation does not contain α, β. Thus, the Lagrangian L^{PST}_{1+1+4} has sufficient local symmetry which allows us to gauge fix the projection operators to becomes the constant matrices. In this gauge $L^{PST}_{1+1+4} = L_{1+1+4}$.

From the variation of covariant Lagrangian we can find the field equation of 2-form field A_{ab}, which is just the self-duality condition in the covariant form. In the above gauge-fixing we can get $F_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}} = \tilde{F}_{1\dot{a}\dot{b}}$ and $F_{12\dot{a}} = \tilde{F}_{12\dot{a}}$, which are the self-duality in the non-covariant formulation [12].

3.3 Lagrangian in Decomposition: $6 = 1 + 2 + 3$

Let us see how to covariantize the non-covariant Lagrangian in another decomposition : 6= 1+2+3. In this case the spacetime index A is decomposed as $A = (1, a, \dot{a})$, with $a = (2, 3)$, $\dot{a} = (4, 5, 6)$ and non-covariant Lagrangian is [12]

$$
L_{1+2+3} = -[\tilde{F}_{1ab}(F^{1ab} - \tilde{F}^{1ab}) + \tilde{F}_{a\dot{a}\dot{b}}(F^{a\dot{a}\dot{b}} - \tilde{F}^{a\dot{a}\dot{b}}) + \tilde{F}_{ab\dot{a}}(F^{ab\dot{a}} - \tilde{F}^{ab\dot{a}})] \tag{3.7}
$$

To obtain the covariant form we write L_{1+2+3} as

$$
L_{1+2+3} = -\frac{1}{6}F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\mathcal{F}_{1ab}\mathcal{F}^{1ab} + \mathcal{F}_{a\dot{a}\dot{b}}\mathcal{F}^{a\dot{a}\dot{b}} + \mathcal{F}_{ab\dot{a}}\mathcal{F}^{ab\dot{a}}\Big) \tag{3.8}
$$

We now introduce three independent projection operators

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu{}^\alpha &= \frac{\partial_\mu a \partial^\alpha a}{(\partial a)^2}, \qquad Q_\mu{}^\alpha = \frac{\partial_\mu b \partial^\alpha b}{(\partial b)^2} && (3.9) \\ R_\mu{}^\alpha &= \frac{\partial_\mu c \partial^\alpha c}{(\partial c)^2}, \qquad \Pi_\mu{}^\alpha = \delta_\mu{}^\alpha - P_\mu{}^\alpha - Q_\mu{}^\alpha - R_\mu{}^\alpha && (3.10) \end{aligned}$$

where a, b and c are three auxiliary fields. As in the decomposition 6=3+3, the operators P, Q and R are used to project direction "1", "2" and "3" respectively.

The PST Lagrangian we find is described by

$$\begin{aligned} L^{PST}_{1+2+3} &= \frac{1}{6}\Big(- F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} + 6\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P^\mu_\alpha Q^\nu_\beta R^\lambda_\gamma \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} + 3\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot (Q^\mu_\alpha + R^\mu_\alpha)\Pi^\nu_\beta \Pi^\lambda_\gamma \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\ &\quad +6\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot Q^\mu_\alpha R^\nu_\beta \Pi^\lambda_\gamma \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\ &= \frac{1}{6}\Big(- F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda} + 3\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot (Q^\lambda_\gamma + R^\lambda_\gamma)\cdot \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\gamma} - 6\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot (P^\nu_\beta Q^\lambda_\gamma + Q^\nu_\beta R^\lambda_\gamma \\ &\qquad + R^\nu_\beta P^\lambda_\gamma)\cdot \mathcal{F}^{\mu\beta\gamma} + 12\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\cdot P^\mu_\alpha Q^\nu_\beta R^\lambda_\gamma \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\Big) \qquad (3.11) \end{aligned}$$

Using the nine equations derived in section 2.3.2 we can quickly find that the variation with respect to the associated action becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S^{PST}_{1+2+3} &= \frac{1}{3}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta abc\lambda}\Big[6\partial_\lambda\Big(\mathcal{F}_{a\nu\sigma}(P^\nu_b Q^\sigma_c + Q^\nu_b R^\sigma_c + R^\nu_b P^\sigma_c) - 12\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}P^\mu_a Q^\nu_b R^\rho_c \\ &\quad -3\mathcal{F}_{\mu ab}(Q^\mu_c + R^\mu_c)\Big)\delta A_{\alpha\beta} + 3\partial_\beta\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha sa}Q^k_b \mathcal{F}_{kc\lambda}\delta b \, \frac{\partial^s b}{(\partial b)^2}\Big) \\ &\quad +3\partial_\beta\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha sa}R^k_b \mathcal{F}_{kc\lambda}\delta c \, \frac{\partial^s c}{(\partial c)^2}\Big) \\ &\quad -6\partial_\beta\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha st}P^{[k}_b Q^{t]}_a \mathcal{F}_{kc\lambda}(\delta a \, \frac{\partial^s a}{(\partial a)^2} + \delta b \, \frac{\partial^s b}{(\partial b)^2})\Big) \\ &\quad -6\partial_\beta\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha st}Q^{[k}_b R^{t]}_a \mathcal{F}_{kc\lambda}(\delta b \, \frac{\partial^s b}{(\partial b)^2} + \delta c \, \frac{\partial^s c}{(\partial c)^2})\Big) \\ &\quad -6\partial_\beta\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha st}R^{[k}_b P^{t]}_a \mathcal{F}_{kc\lambda}(\delta c \, \frac{\partial^s c}{(\partial c)^2} + \delta a \, \frac{\partial^s a}{(\partial a)^2})\Big) \\ &\quad +12\partial_\alpha\Big(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}P^{[t}_b Q^\nu_\beta R^{\rho]}_a \mathcal{F}_{tc\lambda}(\delta a \, \frac{\partial^\mu a}{(\partial a)^2} + \delta b \, \frac{\partial^\mu b}{(\partial b)^2} + \delta c \, \frac{\partial^\mu c}{(\partial c)^2})\Big)\Big] \qquad (3.12) \end{aligned}$$

which has a desired form. Note that, as mentioned before, there are three possible forms in the variation of $F_{\mu\nu\lambda}F^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ with respect the $A_{\alpha\beta}$. Thus, we can adjust the ratio between the three forms and get rid of the unwanted terms to have a desired form in the above equation.

Now, as before, we can find a local symmetry with $\delta a = \phi$, $\delta b = \chi$, $\delta c = \theta$, and proper form of $\delta A_{\alpha\beta}$ which can be easily read from above equation, as in the case of 6=3+3. The existence of extra gauge symmetries allow us to gauge fix the auxiliary fields therein and previous non-covariant formulations are reproduced. Also, from the variation of covariant Lagrangian we can find the field equation of 2-form field A_{ab}, which is just the self-duality condition in the covariant form. In the above gauge-fixing we can get $F_{1ab} = \tilde{F}_{1ab}$, $F_{a\dot{a}\dot{b}} = \tilde{F}_{a\dot{a}\dot{b}}$ and $F_{ab\dot{a}} = \tilde{F}_{ab\dot{a}}$, which are the self-duality in the non-covariant formulation [12].

Finally we note that in the decomposition of spacetime into $6 = 1 + 1 + 4$ [12] we need two auxiliary fields. In the decomposition of spacetime into $6 = 1 + 2 + 3$ we need three auxiliary fields while that in the decomposition $6 = 2 + 2 + 2$ we need four auxiliary fields. In the general decomposition [13] we need five auxiliary fields. More analysis follow the above prescription can show that the covariant Lagrangian so obtain becomes the original non-covariant Lagrangian after using the local symmetry therein to gauge fix the projection operators to becomes the constant matrices. The proof of the existence of the local symmetry is easy with the help of the nine equations derived in section 2.3.2.

4 Conclusion

In this paper we first review the PST covariant Lagrangian [6,7], which essentially is to covariantize the non-covariant Lagrangian in the decomposition of spacetime into $6 = 1 + 5$. Then, we follow the PST method and present a straightforward method to covariantize the non-covariant Lagrangian in the decomposition of spacetime into $6 = 2 + 4$ and the BLG-motivated non-covariant Lagrangian in the decomposition of spacetime into $6 = 3 + 3$. We have derived the basic formulas which enable us to prove the existence of the local symmetry. Using the symmetry we can gauge fix the projection operators to becomes the constant matrices and the original non-covariant Lagrangian is restored.

Our method can be used to find the covariant Lagrangian associated to the generally non-covariant self-dual gauge field. As an example we also discuss the Lagrangian with the decomposition of spacetime into $6 = 1 + 1 + 4$ [12]. It is hoped that the covariantization method of straightforwardly extending from PST formulation in this paper can be applied to general systems.

Acknowledgments The author thanks Kuo-Wei Huang for discussions in the initial stage of investigation.

APPENDIX

A Three Basic Relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon^{abcdef} P_d^w \mathcal{F}_{wef} &= \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{abcdef}\epsilon_{wefijk} P_d^w \mathcal{F}^{ijk} = -\frac{1}{3}\epsilon^{abcd}\epsilon_{wijk} P_d^w \mathcal{F}^{ijk} \\
&= -\frac{1}{3}\delta^{[abcd]}_{[wijk]} P_d^w \mathcal{F}^{ijk} = -2\mathcal{F}_{abc} + 6P_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu} \qquad \text{(A.1)} \\
\epsilon^{abcdef} P_d^w Q_e^s \mathcal{F}_{wsf} &= \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{abcdef}\epsilon_{wsfijk} P_d^w Q_e^s \mathcal{F}^{ijk} \\
&= -\frac{1}{6}\delta^{[abcde]}_{[wsijk]} P_d^w Q_e^s \mathcal{F}^{ijk} \\
&= -\mathcal{F}^{abc} + 3P_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu} + 3Q_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu} - 6P_\mu^{[a}Q_\nu^{b}\mathcal{F}^{c]\mu\nu} \qquad \text{(A.2)} \\
\epsilon^{abcdef} P_d^w Q_e^s R_f^t \mathcal{F}_{wst} &= \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{abcdef}\epsilon_{wstijk} P_d^w Q_e^s R_f^t \mathcal{F}^{ijk} \\
&= \frac{1}{6}\delta^{[abcdef]}_{[wstijk]} P_d^w Q_e^s R_f^t \mathcal{F}^{ijk} \\
&= -\mathcal{F}^{abc} + 3P_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu} + 3Q_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu} + 3R_\mu^{[a}\mathcal{F}^{bc]\mu} \\
&\quad -6P_\mu^{[a}Q_\nu^{b}\mathcal{F}^{c]\mu\nu} - 6Q_\mu^{[a}R_\nu^{b}\mathcal{F}^{c]\mu\nu} - 6R_\mu^{[a}P_\nu^{b}\mathcal{F}^{c]\mu\nu} \\
&\quad +6P_\mu^{[a}Q_\nu^{b}R_\lambda^{c]}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\lambda} \qquad \text{(A.3)}
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain above equations we have used the orthogonal condition between the projection operator, i.e $P_a^b Q_b^c = Q_a^b R_b^c = R_a^b P_b^c = 0$.

REFERENCES

1. L. Alvarez-Gaum and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B234 (1983) 269; C.G. Callan, J.A. Harvey, and A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. B367, 60 (1991); E. Witten,“ Five brane effective action”, J. Geom. Phys. 22 (1997)103 [hep-th/9610234].

2. N. Marcus and J.H. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. 115B (1982) 111;
J. H. Schwarz and A. Sen, “Duality symmetric actions,” Nucl. Phys. B 411, 35 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9304154].

3. R. Floreanini and R. Jackiw,“Selfdual fields as charge density solitons,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 (1987) 1873.

4. M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, “Dynamics of chiral (self-dual) p-forms,” Phys. Lett. B 206 (1988) 650.

5. W. Siegel,“Manifest Lorentz invariance sometimes requires nonlinearity,” Nucl. Phys. B238 (1984) 307.

6. P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, “Note on manifest Lorentz and general coordinate invariance in duality symmetric models,” Phys. Lett. B 352 (1995) 59 [arXiv:hep-th/9503182];
P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, “Duality symmetric actions with manifest space-time symmetries,” Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) R4277 [arXiv:hep-th/9506109];
P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, “Space-time symmetries in duality symmetric models,” [arXiv:hep-th/9509052].

7. P. Pasti, D. P. Sorokin, M. Tonin, “On Lorentz invariant actions for chiral p-forms,” Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 6292 [arXiv:hep-th/9611100].

8. P. M. Ho, Y. Matsuo, “M5 from M2”, JHEP 0806 (2008) 105 [arXiv: 0804.3629 [hep-th]];
P.M. Ho, Y. Imamura, Y. Matsuo, S. Shiba, “M5-brane in three-form flux and multiple M2-branes,” JHEP 0808 (2008) 014, [arXiv:0805.2898 [hep-th]].

9. J. Bagger and N. Lambert, “Modeling multiple M2” Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 045020 [arXiv:hep-th/0611108];
J.A. Bagger and N. Lambert, “Gauge Symmetry and Supersymmetry of Multiple M2-Branes,” Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 065008 arXiv:0711.0955 [hep-th];
J. Bagger and N. Lambert, “Comments On Multiple M2-branes,” JHEP 0802 (2008) 105, arXiv:0712.3738 [hep-th];
A. Gustavsson, ”Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes,” Nucl. Phys. B 811 (2009) 66, arXiv:0709.1260 [hep-th].

10. Pasti, Samsonov, Sorokin and Tonin,“BLG-motivated Lagrangian formulation for the chiral two-form gauge field in D = 6 and M5-branes” Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 086008 [arXiv:0907.4596 [hep-th]].

11. W.-M. Chen and P.-M. Ho,“Lagrangian Formulations of Self-dual Gauge Theories in Diverse Dimensions” Nucl. Phys. B837 (2010) 1 [arXiv:1001.3608 [hep-th]].

12. Wung-Hong Huang,“Lagrangian of Self-dual Gauge Fields in Various Formulations,” Nucl. Phys. B861 (2012) 403 [arXiv:1111.5118 [hep-th]].

13. Wung-Hong Huang, "General Lagrangian of Non-Covariant Self-dual Gauge Field," JHEP 1211 (2012) 051 [arXiv:1210.1024 [hep-th]].